PĀLI LEXICOGRAPHICAL STUDIES VIII¹ SEVEN PĀLI ETYMOLOGIES

Here is another random collection of words which are either omitted from PED,² or given an incorrect meaning or etymology there.

- 1. āharitā "having brought"
- 2. chandaso "willingly"
- 3. vāc'-uggata "learnt orally"
- 4. vyasanna "sunk down"
- 5. vyamhita "frightened"
- 6. sīhavasā "lion's oil"
- 7. Sugata/Tathāgata "Buddha"

1. āharitā "having brought"

At Ja III 399,2* Be and Ee read iminā sakuņajātena bījam āharitā hatā "[The trees] are destroyed by birds which have brought a seed". Ce and Se read āharitvā, which is unmetrical in the cadence of a śloka pāda. The cty states: bījam āharitā ti bījam āharitvā (399,12'), and the reading of Ce and Se is probably the gloss which has been taken over into the text by scribes who did not understand the reading.

Journal of the Pali Text Society, XV, 145-54

¹ See K.R. Norman, "Pāli Lexicographical Studies VII", in *JPTS*, XIV, pp. 219–25.

² Abbreviations of the titles of Pāli texts are as in the Epilegomena to V. Trenckner: A Critical Pāli Dictionary, Vol. I, Copenhagen 1924–48 (= CPD). In addition: Be = Burmese edition; Ce = Sinhalese edition; Ee = European (i.e. PTS, unless otherwise stated) edition; Se = Siamese edition; PTS = Pali Text Society; PED = PTS's Pali-English Dictionary; BD = Book of the Discipline; cty = commentary; Ms. = manuscript.

CPD (s.v. $\bar{a}harati$) suggests reading $\bar{a}hariy\bar{a}$, which would represent the absolutive $\bar{a}hariya$ with lengthening of the final -a to $-\bar{a}$. There is, however, no obvious reason for such a lengthening, since it is not required metri causa. Moreover, CPD's suggestion seems to be a conjecture with no authority in any of the manuscript traditions. In view of the existence of absolutives in $-t\bar{a}$ metri causa, it seems very likely that we should accept the reading $-t\bar{a}$, and surmise that this represents a simplification of the geminate consonant -tt- in the old pre-Pāli absolutive ending $-tt\bar{a}$, to produce a short -i- where the metre requires it.

2. chandaso "willingly"

When dealing with the phrase buddhavacanam chandaso āropema (Vin II 139,8) elsewhere,⁴ I suggested that chandaso did not mean "into verse, into metre, into Vedic" as had been proposed by other scholars, but should rather be connected with the word chanda "will, desire". I could not quote a canonical usage of the word in this sense, but I quoted the sentence tesam tesam samanabrāḥmaṇānam chandaso paṭiññāyamānam vohāramattam ev' etam from Thī-a 64,24 (ad Thī 57).

In Bhikkhunīpātimokkha (Pārājikā dhammā I.1) No. 1,5 which is quoted at Sp 1302,32-34, we find: yā pana bhikkhunī chandaso methunam dhammam paṭiseveyya antamaso tiracchānagatāya pi, pārājikā hoti asaṃvāsā. As Miss Horner points out,6 this is the same as the first pārājika rule for bhikkhus, except that the bhikkhus' rule (Vin III 22,33) omits the word chandaso. The word is explained in the cty on the Bhikkhunīpātimokkha: tattha chandaso ti methunarāgapaṭisaṃyuttena chandena c' eva ruciyā ca, chande pana asati balakkārena padhaṃsitāya

anāpatti, Kkh 157,10-12. It also occurs in the exegesis of this explanation: tilaphalamattam pi padesam chandaso paveseti, 157,18.

The Sanskrit Bhikṣuṇī-vinaya⁷ also includes the word. It gives the rule in the form: yā puna bhikṣuṇī chandaso maithunaṃ grāmyaṃ dharmaṃ pratiṣeviyā antamasato tiryagyonigata(gatena) pi sārdhaṃ iyaṃ bhikṣuṇī pārājikā bhavaty asaṃvāsyāḥ(syā) (§ 114).

As I explained in my earlier article, chandaso would then be an example of the suffix -so < -sas added to the word chanda, and is not to be confused with chandaso = gen. sg. of chanda(s) "metre", e.g. savitti chandaso mukham, Sn 568 (vede sajjhāyantehi pathamam ajjhetabbato savittī chandaso mukham, Pj II 456,21 = Ps III 406,24). For other usages of chanda in the sense of metre, cf. atītakālikānam pi hi chandasi vattamānavacanam akkharacintakā icchanti, Pj II 16,24 (ad Sn 2 "udacchidā"); chandavasena c' ettha digham katvā ca-kāram āha. samsuddhacarano ti attho, Pj II 205,25 (ad Sn 162 "-cārano"); chando nidānam gāthānam, S I 38,21 (glossed: gāyattī-ādiko chando gāthānam nidānam, Spk I 94,21-22); chandavasena rassam katvā, Pj II 402,27 (ad Sn 455 "manta"). At Vv-a 265,14 chandoviciti is used specifically of one of the six vedāngas (= Sanskrit chandoviciti); in the corresponding list at Pv-a 97,28 it appears as chanda. If, therefore, we reject the suggestion that *chandaso* is to be taken from *chanda* "will", then it would appear from the Pāli uses of chanda that it means "metre" rather than "Vedic language".

3. *vāc'-uggata* "learnt orally"

This compound occurs in a number of places in the commentarial and later literature: suppavattī ti suṭṭhu pavattaṃ paguṇaṃ

³ See K.R. Norman, "Pāli Lexicographical Studies III", *JPTS* X, 1985, pp. 32–35.

⁴ K.R. Norman, "MIA Studies VIII", JOI(B) XX, 1971, pp. 329–36.

⁵ See R.D. Vadekar, *Pātimokkha*, Poona 1939, p. 27.

⁶ See I.B. Horner, BD, VI p. 3 n. 4.

⁷ G. Roth, Bhikṣuṇī-vinaya: Manual of discipline for Buddhist nuns, Patna 1970.

~am suvinicchitam, Sp 234,10 (~an ti vācāya uggatam; tattha nirantaram thitan ti attho, Vmv I 125,18); atthakathāsu pana pākatavohāram gahetvā °-vasena sabbacchannā nāma pañcahi chadanehi channā ti, 745,19; dve mātikā pagunā ~ā katvā, 788,29; dve vibhangā pagunā ~ā kātabbā, 789,11; vattantī ti āgacchanti, pagunā ~ā ti attho, 792,9; yassa sātthakatham vinayapitakam ~am pavattati, 983,30; suppavattīnī ti °-vasena, 990,11 (°-vasenā ti pagunavasena, Sp-t III 7,12); dve pana akhandā suvisadā ~ā honti, 1060,3 (~ā ti purimass' eva vevacanam, Sp-t III 39,27); pariyāpunitvā ti, ugganhitvā vā ~am vā katvā, Spk I 262,3; eken' eva uddesena tayo vedā hadayangatā ~ā sūpadhāritā ... ahesum, Mil 10,11; saijhāyanti ca ~am parantā dhārenti ca, Sp-t (Līnasāratthadīpanī), p. 123; yāvatā ~ā pariyatti hoti, Ps III 78,15; divase divase ca chasahassavedapadāni ~ā honti, Bu-up 42,3; Abhidhamma-pitakam ugganhitvā sātthakatham ~am katvā, Saddhamma-s 82,2 ≠ 82,6; ten' eva katakammena bhavantaram anāgate sāvijjattha katass' eva ~añ ca tantiyā, 82,13–14.

I am uncertain about the last of these, but in all the other passages the compound refers to the doctrine, or teachings, or sermons. It is not clear how the meaning "with well-intoned speech", which is given in PED, is to be construed. In his translation of Mil 10,11 Rhys Davids changes the construction of the sentence and translates "Could intone them correctly", which leaves undecided the question of whether he understood the compound correctly. Miss Horner's translation of the same phrase "[the three vedas] were properly intoned in voice" is grammatically possible. Gray changes the construction and translates as though the verb were active "[he] got off [presumably "by heart"] 6000 pādas daily". I do not understand B.C. Law's "... learnt the Abhidhamma-piṭaka and the commentary thereon with their well-intoned

speech", or his "He who ... comes nearer to one who has mastered a well-intoned speech". 11

I suggest that uggata is a form of $\delta ggata = ogata$ (< avagata) with the sense of "learnt, understood", which not usual in Pāli, although avagata sometimes occurs with the meaning "understood".¹² The compound would therefore mean something like "learnt orally", referring to the recitation procedure.

Although in all these references the word seems to be used of the texts, there are several references in later texts where the word seems to be used of people (sabbe pi te bhikkhū ... sabbe $\sim \bar{a}$ honti, Gv^{13} 77,12; cf. Gv 77,14,17,18,24,27,30), or even $k\bar{a}la$ (Duṭṭhagāminīrañño ca $k\bar{a}lo \sim o$ dhuvaṃ, Gv 77,18). If these are correct usages, and the fact that they occur only in Ms. M, which is said to be "full of clerical errors", ¹⁴ gives reason for some doubt about this, then they presumably reflect a later semantic development, perhaps taking uggata in an active sense "those who have learnt (texts) orally". This, however, is hardly applicable to $k\bar{a}la$.

4. vyasanna "sunk down"

This word occurs in the Citta-Sambhūta-jātaka at Ja IV 399,6* (nāgo yathā paṅkamajjhe vyasanno) and 399,8* (evam p' ahaṃ kāmapaṅke vyasanno). In both places Fausbøll lists the v.ll. vyasanto (in Ms. Ck) and byasanno (in Ms. Bd). It is glossed: vyasanno (Cks vyasanto, Bd byasanno) ti visanno (Ck visante, Cs visanne, Bd visannova), 399,17'–18'. The word also occurs in the Kumbha-jātaka at Ja V 16,15* (sammakkhito vantagato

⁸ T.W. Rhys Davids, *The Questions of King Milinda*, Vol. I, Sacred Books of the East Vol. XXXV, London 1890, p. 17.

⁹ I.B. Horner, Milinda's Questions, Vol. I, London 1963, p. 14.

¹⁰ J. Gray, Buddhaghosuppatti (ed. and trsl.), London 1892, Part 2, p. 8.

¹¹ B.C. Law, A manual of Buddhist historical traditions (Saddhamma-sangaha), Calcutta 1941, p. 122.

¹² See CPD, Vol. I, s.v. avagata.

¹³ JPTS 1886, pp. 54-80.

¹⁴ *ibid.*, p. 55.

vyasanno, without v.l. It is glossed: vyasanno (C^{ks} vyaccannā) ti vyasanāpanno, visanno (B^d vipphanno, C^k visatto) ti pi pāṭho, tasmiṃ vante osanno (C^{ks} osanto) ti attho (19,1'-2').

PED explains vyasanna as metric (diaeretic) for visanna, 15 but does not explain how reading vya- instead of vi- affects the metre. Preceded by the final -e of majjhe, vy- cannot make any difference to the scansion of the pada. The editors of PED make no reference to the Ardha-Māgadhī equivalent of the Citta-Sambhūta-jātaka found in Uttarajjhāyana-sutta XIII. There we find: nāgo jahā pamkajalāvasanno (XIII.30).16 This enables us to postulate that an earlier version of the Pāli pāda ended with pankamajjhāvasanno. When this became wrongly divided as pankamajjhā vasanno, perhaps at a time when long vowels were not written, the ending of -majjhā was changed to the locative -e, and the initial v- of vasanno was changed to vy- by a scribe who knew that initial ν - was often derived from νy -. 17 We might deduce that this change was made before the time of the composition of the cty on Ja, since the explanation vyasanāpanno would seem to reflect a word beginning with vy-. It is, on the other hand, possible that vyasanāpanna is simply intended as a gloss upon the whole compound, in which case we might suspect that the presence of vy- in vyasana led to the insertion of -vyinto pankamajjhāvasanno, with the resultant belief that vyasanno was a word in its own right, followed by the replacement of the unintelligible -majjhā by -majjhe. At Ja V 16,15* we might assume that an earlier version of the pada ended with the words vantagato 'vasanno, and here the reading avasanno is supported by the gloss osanno.

5. vyamhita "frightened"

The conclusion that initial vy- has been restored incorrectly in vyasanna suggests that we might look at other words beginning with vy-to see if the ligature can be explained as a non-historic restoration of the same kind. It is possible that the word vyamhita is another example, since here too vya- is explained by PED as being metrical for vi-. 18 The word occurs at Ja V 69,4*: avaca (Ck avañca) vyamhito (Bd by-) rājā. The word is glossed: vyamhito (Bd byamitto) ti bhīto vimhaya-punno (Bd vimhayam āpanno) vā, 69,21'-22'. Here vy- could be regarded as metri causa, since the conjunct consonant lengthens the preceding -a and consequently changes the scansion of the opening of the pāda to ---. This avoids the opening ---, which is irregular by classical standards, although it can certainly be paralleled elsewhere in Pāli. If, however, a redactor had a feeling for metre and wished to avoid this opening, it would have been simple to gain the same result by lengthening the final vowel of avaca and reading avacā.

In the other occurrences of the word the importance of its form in relation to metre is not obvious. We find: $r\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ $vyamhitam\bar{a}naso$, Ja VI 243,10* where Be reads $byathita-m\bar{a}naso$. It is glossed: $vyamhita-m\bar{a}naso$ (Be byathita-) ti $bh\bar{t}tacitto$. Here, after the final $-\bar{a}$ of $r\bar{a}j\bar{a}$, it makes no difference metrically whether vyamhita- or vimhita- occurs. Nor is it metricausa at the beginning of a pāda at Ja VI 314,26*: vyamhito $n\hat{a}bhiv\bar{a}deti$, glossed: vyamhito ti $bh\bar{t}to$, 314,27'. Nor in the opening of a śloka pāda with the pathyā cadence at 315,1*: na c' amhi vyamhito (Be byamhito) $n\bar{a}ga$, where $bh\bar{t}to$ occurs in the exegesis.

Despite the glosses vimhaya-puṇṇo and vimhayam āpanno, the word does not seem to be identical in meaning with vimhita. The occurrence of the gloss bhīta and the Be reading vyathita- suggests that

¹⁵ See PED, s.v. vyasanna.

¹⁶ J. Charpentier, The Uttarādhyayanasūtra, Uppsala 1922, p. 118.

¹⁷ Cf. vyappatha < *vappatha. See K.R. Norman, "Two Pali etymologies", BSOAS XLII, 1979, pp. 321-28 (p. 326).

¹⁸ See PED, s.v. vyamhita.

we may be dealing with the verb $vy\bar{a}dheti$ "to frighten" which, as is well known, takes over the meanings of the root vyath- in Pāli. If this is so, then the correct reading at Ja VI 243,10* could be $vy\bar{a}dhita$ - $m\bar{a}nasa$. There must, however, be some doubt about this, because in an earlier form the verb was probably * $v\bar{a}dhita$ -, which could equally well be derived from the root $b\bar{a}dh$ -. With the development of * $v\bar{a}dhita$ - to * $v\bar{a}hita$ -, and the replacement of the long syllable - \bar{a} - by a nasalised short vowel -am-, via -am-, we would get *vamhita-. The restoration of vy- at the beginning of the word was due to a scribe or redactor who, rightly or wrongly, thought that initial v- was derived from vy-.

6. sīhavasā "lion's oil"

The translation "lion's oil" for sīhavasā depends on the recognition that here the word $vas\bar{a}$ has the sense of "oil"; cf. vas' odissam, Sp 717,27 ("oil as an object of specification or stipulation").²¹ The compound $s\bar{i}havas\bar{a}$ has much the same meaning as $s\bar{i}hatela$ "lion's oil" = "a valuable oil or perfume". Cf. gahitagahitam ... $suvannabh\bar{a}jane$ pakkhittam iva $s\bar{i}hatelam$ avinassamānam dhārento (Pj I 198,26) with gahitagahitam $p\bar{a}s\bar{a}ne$ $khatalekh\bar{a}$ viya, suvannaghate $pakkhitta-s\bar{i}havas\bar{a}$ viya ca gahitakaren' eva titthati (Ps II 336,34).

It is used in a simile for doing something attentively or carefully (because the oil is valuable and must not be spilled), e.g. $\sim \bar{a}ya$ suvannanāļim pūrento viya sakkaccam sotam odahitvā, Ja I 98,4; pāsāne lekham khananto viya kancananāliyā \sim am paţicchanto viya ohitasoto,

²¹ PED (s.v. vasā²) gives the meanings "fat, tallow, grease".

sakkaccam subhāsitāni suņāti, Ja V 149,13'; sakkaccam pāsāņe lekham khanantā viya, kañcananāļiyā ~am paţicchantā viya ca ohitasotā savaṇaṃ karothā ti attho, Mhv-t 6,24–25.

It is also used as an illustration of something remaining unchanged and not disappearing. The two words kañcana-pātī and kañcana-nāļī which frequently occur with sīhavasā both refer to containers ("bowl" and "tube") in which the valuable oil is kept, so that it is not lost (because it is in a golden container, and not an earthenware one, through the walls of which seepage might take place), e.g. yassa hi sutam hadayamañjūsāya sannicitam silāya lekhā viya suvannaghaṭe pakkhitta-~ā viya ca tiṭṭhati, Mp III 28,15 = Ps II 252,30 (ad "suta-sannicaya"); gahitagahitam pāsāne khatalekhā viya, suvannaghaṭe pakkhitta-~ā viya ca gahitâkāren' eva tiṭṭhati, Ps II 336,34

Its container is used as an illustration of something which is useful and valuable. We find tadadhikāram pi suṇantānaṃ va sāsanikajanassa dīpaṃ idaṃ ~āya suvaṇṇabhājanaṃ viya suriyaraṃsiyā samphullapadumaṃ viya ca disvā, Mhv-ṭ 50,24-26. In contrast to this, at Ja V 489,13 we find mattikābhājanaṃ viya ~āya abhājanaṃ tvaṃ dhammassa "You are useless as a container for the dhamma, just as a clay container is useless for ...".

The compound is very appropriate to the work of authors and scribes, who hope that their work will not disappear, just as something inscribed on stone, or valuable oil kept safe in a permanent, leak-proof, container is not lost, but remains unchanged. The compound is found (dissolved into vasā and sīhassa) in a verse which occurs in the colophons of the Jātaka (Ja VI 595,3-4), Att (34,1-2), and Mhbv²²: vasā sīhassa pakkhittā (Ja reads the first two words as one; Att reads all three words

¹⁹ For the confusion between $b\bar{a}(d)h$ - and $v\bar{a}(d)h$ -, see K.R. Norman, "Middle Indo-Aryan Studies XIV", JOI(B) XXIX, pp. 37–41 (p. 37). Cf. the gloss na vyādheti na bādheti, Ja IV 166,21′ (ad 166,4* "na ... vyadheti").

²⁰ For a similar alternation between long and nasalised short vowels (in *udāhati and *ud-aħhati) see O. von Hinüber, Die Sprachgeschichte des Pāli im Spiegel der südostasiatischen Handschriftenüberlieferung, Mainz 1988, p. 26.

²² Cited in the preface to Strong's edition, p. v.

as one) yathā kañcanapātiyā silālekheva (for -lekhā iva; Att and Mhbv read silālekhe va) me niccam sabbam sutam na nāsaye.

7. Sugata/Tathāgata "Buddha"

PED translates Sugata as "faring well, happy, having a happy life after death", 23 but if that were correct, then all those born in a sugati, which includes all men, 24 would be called sugata. I regard the word Sugata as having the same relationship to sugati as duggata has to duggati, i.e. the implied -gati is not being used in its technical sense of "(category of) rebirth". So duggata is used in a general sense "(one who is) in a bad way" = "poor", whereas Sugata is used in a very specialised sense "(one who is) in a (particularly) good way" = "Buddha".

The same applies to the word $Tath\bar{a}gata$. PED states that its derivation is uncertain.²⁵ It was long ago pointed out that it occurs in the Prakrit form $tah\bar{a}gaya$ in Jain literature, although Thomas hinted that the word was possible not of Aryan origin, because "in its use in the scriptures there is no trace of the Sanskrit meaning contained in $tath\bar{a}$ and gata".²⁶ If, however, we assume that -gata is used in the same way as in sugata and duggata, then we can see that it means "(one who is) in that sort of (= very good) way". For the force of the demonstrative, we can compare $t\bar{a}di(n)$ "of such a kind = excellent".²⁷

Cambridge

K.R. Norman

TUŅDILOVĀDA: AN ALLEGEDLY NON-CANONICAL SUTTA

"The Sutta of the Advice to Tuṇḍila" is a short Pali text in both prose and verse. Although by title it is a sutta and the narrative attributes its contents to the Buddha, it is not included in the Pali Text Society's edition of the Pali Canon. This is not to say that its contents are markedly different from other discourses in the Pali canon. On the contrary, the Tuṇḍilovāda Sutta provides a concise illustration, albeit an inspirational illustration, of the logic and structure of traditional Theravāda Buddhist practice.

The occasion for the discourse is a dāna given by the layman Tundila and his wife. After perceiving Tundila's spiritual potential, but before delivering the discourse proper, the Buddha sends forth his six bodily rays. This is reminiscent of narratives in medieval Sinhala Buddhist literature where the Buddha is also sometimes said to use two means to convert beings, his manner of preaching and the performance of miracles.1 The discourse then begins appropriately with an exposition of the benefits $(an\bar{\imath}sam_s\bar{a})$ which accrue to those who practice $d\bar{a}na$. Significantly, the giving of $d\bar{a}na$ is portrayed here as an integral component of the attainment of nibbana. An account of how sīla is always a necessary complement to dana then follows. The exposition of the benefits of practicing sīla provides an occasion for an enumeration of first, the five precepts, and then the ten precepts. There is some incongruity in discussing all ten precepts in a discourse addressed to a layman, although this portion of the text may be more narrowly addressed only to the monks in the audience.² The benefits of guarding

²³ See PED, s.v. Sugata.

²⁴ Cf. tattha sugatiggahanena manussagati pi sangayhati, Vism 427,28.

²⁵ See PED, s.v. tathāgata.

²⁶ See E.J. Thomas, "Tathāgata and tahāgaya", *BSOS*, VIII, 1936, pp. 781-88 (p. 787).

⁽p. 787).
²⁷ See K.R. Norman, *Elders' Verses* I, p. 131 (ad Th 41).

¹ See, for example, Gurulugomi, *A māvatura*, edited by Kodagoda Ñanaloka Thera (Colombo: Gunasena, 1967), p. 49.

² The repeated use of the vocative *bhikkhave* in this portion of the text may be taken in more than one way. It can be read as a limitation of the relevance of the